

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/147,894 03/23/1999 MASAHITO TANAKA 445-271P 2395 **EXAMINER** 03/01/2004 2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH REICHLE, KARIN M **PO BOX 747** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 22 3761

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/\//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/147,894	TANAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karin M. Reichle	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the communic	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 20 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	003 is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·	
	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Apointh the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	-948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·

Application/Control Number: 09/147,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-26-03 has been entered.

Specification

2. The amendments to page 2, line 11 and page 23, line 14 filed 10-26-03 did not comply with 37 CFR 1.121, i.e. all the added text was not underlined, all the deleted text was not stuck through or was missing. The Examiner has made the necessary corrections to the text to bring it into compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings were received on 11-26-03. These drawings are not approved by the Examiner. Contrary to Applicant's remarks the replacement sheets did not include the approved changes of 10-24-01.
- 4. The drawings are objected to because due to the added page of Figures the page numbers should reflect that there are 8 pages of Figures, i.e. 1/8, 2/8, etc. Also, in Figure 15, the number 6 should not be underlined. Figure 14 and the description at page 24, lines 15-18 are

Application/Control Number: 09/147,894 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

inconsistent, i.e. the body 90' in Figure 14 is not the same as that shown in the fourth embodiment of Figure 8. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Description

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in the amendment to page 23, line 14, line 8 thereof, "planar" still needs to be deleted. As discussed infra, the description at page 24, lines 15-18 are inconsistent with Figure 14.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 6, line 2 is redundant. In claim 7, line 2, "obtainable by interposing" should be deleted, on line 3 "by admixing" should be --an admixture of-- and on line 4, ", and ... into" should be --in--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 15 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/147,894

Art Unit: 3761

In regard to claim 1, it is unclear whether applicant is claiming an absorbent member which is only one sheet or a member which comprises an absorbent sheet where in either case the barrier cuffs are formed by integrally folding only the sheet and the top layer, i.e. if the former, on line 7, "containing" should be --is-- and if the latter on lines 7 and 8 "single" should be deleted. This rejection also applies to claim 19 to similar language with respect to the second absorbent member. Additionally, on line 12 of claim 19, after "folding", --only-- should be inserted. In regard to claim 20, lines 8-9 appear to be inconsistent, i.e. how can the second absorbent member be a single pad but then include an auxiliary pad too, i.e. on lines 8 and 12, "single" should be deleted and on line 12, after "folding", --only-- should be inserted.

Claim Language Interpretation

8. The "means plus function" terminology in claims 8 and 10 invoke 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph, but claims 9 and 11 do not since they recite sufficient specific structure to perform such function. Also the terminology "sheet" is interpreted as including at least one layer but if more than one layer the layers are integrated to form, e.g., a laminate. The terminology "integrally folded" is interpreted as not requiring serpentine folding except where explicitly claimed as such. It is also interpreted that the pad which forms the projecting portion does not have to on the skin contactable surface of the pocket to form a projecting portion on such side. As best understood, see discussion supra, the absorbent member in claim 1 and the second absorbent member in claims 19 and 20 includes a absorbent sheet or a pad and the barrier cuffs are formed by integrally folding only that sheet or that pad and the associated topsheet. "Barrier"

Art Unit: 3761

is defined as "something that acts to hinder or restrict" as defined by the American Heritage Dictionary.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of good date, alone or in any combination, as well as such and the claims of Tanaka '297 and '190, Kimura et al '925 and Hamajima '525, i.e. patents not of good date but which have at least one common inventor, do not teach the combination of the barrier cuffs, as best understood, see paragraphs 7 and 8 supra, in combination with a projecting portion formed therebetween in combination with the sheet thickness as claimed as well as the other features in claim 1 or in combination with the other features of claims 19 and 20.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's remarks have been considered but are either deemed moot in that the issue discussed has not been reraised or is deemed not persuasive in view of the objections and rejections set forth supra.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karin M. Reichle whose telephone number is (703) 308-2617. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/147,894

Art Unit: 3761

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 308-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

K.m. Reuchle Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit 3761

KMR February 19, 2004